





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D. C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/581,366		REGINA RESZKA	2936.169/00	7163
7:	590 03/27/2002			
		CUS P.A	EXAMI	NER
30TH FLOOR	7590 03/27/2002 NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS P.A 220 EAST 42ND STREET 30TH FLOOR	SCHMIDT, MARY M		
NEW YORK, NY 10017				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	110
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

١		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/581,366	RESZKA, REGINA
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Mary Schmidt	1635
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the maximum statutory per end to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum status. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
2a)		This action is non-final.	
3) Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 34-46</u> is/are pending in t	ne application.	
4	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 34-46</u> are subject to restr	iction and/or election requirer	ment.
	on Papers		
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10)∏ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) di	sapproved by the Examiner.
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
12) T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 🛚	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:		
1	I. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Ap	plication No.
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International B se the attached detailed Office action for a li	iority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	received in this National Stage
	knowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
a) 15)∏ Ad	☐ The translation of the foreign language p cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application has be	en received.
Attachment(s	•	_	
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.	A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 14

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 1/03/02 was entered. Claims 1-24 and 34-46 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-24 and 34-46, drawn to agents comprising (a) one or more genetic materials, (b) liposomes consisting of **PEG-liposomes**, c) a drug carrier embolization system (DCES), and (d) a contrasting agent.

Group II, claim(s) 1-24 and 34-46, drawn to agents comprising (a) one or more genetic materials, (b) liposomes consisting of **immuno-liposomes**, c) a drug carrier embolization system (DCES), and (d) a contrasting agent.

Group III, claim(s) 1-24 and 34-46, drawn to agents comprising (a) one or more genetic materials, (b) liposomes consisting of **cationic liposomes**, c) a drug carrier embolization system (DCES), and (d) a contrasting agent.

Group IV, claim(s) 1-24 and 34-46, drawn to agents comprising (a) one or more genetic materials, (b) liposomes consisting of **polymer-modified liposomes**, c) a drug carrier embolization system (DCES), and (d) a contrasting agent.

Art Unit: 1635

3. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Claim 1 is broadly drawn to agents for effecting gene transfer, comprising (a) one or more genetic elements, (b) liposomes chosen from the group consisting of PEG-liposomes, immuno-liposomes, immuno/PEG-liposomes, cationic liposomes, and polymer-liposomes, c) drug carriers and (d) contrasting agents. The claims thus comprise multiple products.

Each of the claimed types of liposomes in claim 1 (part (b)) are known in the art to be divergent in structure and methods of making for instance. (See WO 96/08235, WO 97/46671, WO97/13501 and WO 94/22468 which illustrate known types of liposomes for different uses)

The prior art taught at the time the invention was made the use of drug embolization systems and contrasting agents in liposomes comprising genetic materials. See for instance WO 95/03035 which taught use of polymerizing agents for improved oral delivery and WO 96/11023 which taught gadilinium as a contrasting agent.

Since the combination of the elements of parts (a), c) and (d) considered as a whole with each of the different types of liposomes of part (b) does not make a contribution over the prior art, the claims do not share a "special technical feature" that defines a contribution made by the claim as a whole over said prior art. As such, the fact that the multiple products were claimed in part (b) of claim 1 which are not considered equivalents, the above restriction is considered proper.

M. M. Schmidt March 25, 2002 JOHN L. LEGUYADER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600